

Mandatory Requirement	Criteria	Deliverable	Yes	No	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
	Demonstrate that the supplier Quality Management System (QMS) is certified to ISO 9001:2015, or equivalent. If supplier QMS is not certified, no further evaluation will be performed.	Copies of Management System Certification.				

Requirement	Requirement	Deliverable	Criteria	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments
1. COMPANY PROFILE	Quantitative & practical experience in similar projects	Submit a portfolio of evidence on company letter head that demonstrates where the experience was involved in previous contracts or projects. Submit a list of contactable references that the Employer can contact during tender evaluations. A list of referrals will be useful.	Tenderer to submit portfolio of evidence on a company letter head demonstrating five (5) years or more experience in similar projects. 100% - Tenderer submitted a Portfolio of Evidence demonstrating 5 years or more experience in similar projects and a list of contactable references on a company letterhead 75% - Tenderer submitted a Portfolio of Evidence demonstrating 4 -5 year experience in similar projects and a list of contactable references on a company letterhead 50% - Tenderer submitted a Portfolio of Evidence demonstrating 2 -4 years experience in similar projects and a list of contactable references on a company letterhead 0% - Tenderer submitted a Portfolio of Evidence demonstrating 0 -2 years experience in similar projects and a list of contactable references on a company letterhead	100%		0%		
	TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%		
2. EXPERIENCE OF KEY PERSONNEL	Tenderer to ensure that key personnel are trained, certified , have valid on-line Leak Sealing qualifications with a minimum of 2 years experience and authorizations to provide the service for the duration of the contract.	Provide CV's with relevant Authorizations and related Certificates or proof of training completed.	Key personnel to be qualified and authorised as per Section 2.3 of draft NEC Contract. 100% - Tenderer submitted key personnel CV's detailing relevant skills, qualifications and relevant experience aligned with requirements as stipulated in Section 2.3 of draft NEC Contract. 75% - Tenderer submitted CV's of key personnel with the required experience, but no qualifications submitted 0% - No CV's, Certificates or Authorizations submitted.	100%		0%		
	TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%		
3. TECHNICAL	Housekeeping	Provide documented strategy detailing the good house keeping (including storage management on site)	Strategy to ensure good house keeping and storage 100% - TTenderer submitted a Strategy detailing how they manage good housekeeping including storage during work preparation and execution. 75% - Tenderer submitted a limited and not coherent Strategy addressing how they will retain authorized staff and skill. 0% - No Strategy submitted OR Tenderer submitted an inadequate and vague Strategy	20%		0%		
	Indicate how will you retain authorised staff and retain skills for the duration of the contract.	Provide Strategy to retain authorised staff and skills.	Continuous improvement strategy to retain authorised skilled personnel 100% - Tenderer submitted a Strategy detailing how they will retain authorized staff and skills for the duration of the contract. 75% - Tenderer submitted a limited and not coherent Strategy addressing how they will retain authorized staff and skill. 0% - No Strategy submitted OR Tenderer submitted an inadequate and vague Strategy	20%		0%		
	Ability to mobilise sufficient resources on time	Submit a strategy that demonstrates how resources will be available on time or when required	Ability to Ability to mobilise team on short notice 100% - Strategy supplied with ability to mobilise within 2 hours of receiving the confirmation from the Employer to respond. 75% - Strategy supplied with ability to mobilise within 3 hours of receiving the confirmation from the Employer to respond. 50% - Strategy supplied with ability to mobilise within 4 hours of receiving the confirmation from the Employer to respond. 0% - No strategy supplied or Tenderer is unable to respond within 4 hours	40%		0%		
	Ability to meet deadlines	Provide proof of at least 3 completed previous projects indicating where deadlines were met.	Ability to meet project deadlines . 100% - More than 90% of deadlines met. 75% - Less than 90% but more than 70% of deadlines met. 50% - Less than 70% but more than 50% of deadlines met. 0% - Less than 50% of deadlines met	20%		0%		
	TOTAL WEIGHTING			100%	NOT MEET	0%		
	4. QUALITY	Quality Management System: Implementation of the quality management system.	The returnables are: Copy of latest internal audit reports or self-assessment or audit by external party (e.g. customer) to indicate implementation of the quality management system.	100% - Quality Management System Certification Audit Report stating compliance together with Quality Assurance Manual or Programme submitted. 75% - Quality Management System Certification Audit Report stating compliance. 50% - Quality Assurance Manual or Programme with no proof compliance submitted. 0% - No documents submitted	25%		0%	
Quality Control Plan (QCP) or Inspection and Test Plan (ITP) or Quality Plan: A supplier document specifying the work activities to be performed throughout the execution of the product realization works inclusive of test methods, procedures and acceptance criteria. (DSG-318-087 Rev 2, Section 5.2 refers).		Returnable is an example of a QCP or Quality Project Plan for a similar service, identifying sequential operations and indicating inspection and test points (hold and/or witness points) and areas where reports are required .	100% - Quality Control Process procedure and Quality Control Plan with all intervention points and verification of completed steps. 75% - Quality Control Process procedure and Quality Control Plan with no intervention points identified. 50% - Quality Control Process procedure only submitted. 0% - No documents submitted	25%		0%		
Personnel qualification/skill and Authorisation Process Evidence of a personnel qualification/skill and authorisation process.		Tenderer to submit procedure, process document and records demonstrating implementation of personnel qualification/skill and authorisation process.	100% - Personnel Qualification/skill procedure, qualification process and example of a completed assessment of personnel. 75% - Personnel Qualification/skill procedure and qualification process. 50% - Personnel Qualification/skill procedure only submitted. 0% - No documents submitted	25%		0%		
Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process: Control of nonconforming service and application for concession (DSG-318-087 Rev 2 Section 5.5 refers)		Tenderer to submit procedure, process document and records demonstrating implementation of Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process. Show non-conformance, deviation and concession process, including disposition. Proof of customer notification for non-conforming services as identified and reported.	100% - Non-conformance and Corrective Action procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. 75% - Non-conformance and Corrective Action procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. 50% - Method Statement or documented procedure that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service. 0% - No documents submitted	25%		0%		
TOTAL WEIGHTING				100%	NOT MEET	0%		

Final Analysis			
1. COMPANY PROFILE	10%	0.0%	
2. EXPERIENCE OF KEY PERSONNEL	20%	0.0%	
3. TECHNICAL	40%	0.0%	
4. QUALITY	30%	0.0%	
TOTAL	100%	0.0%	NOT RECOMMENDED


The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows:


A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows:


- 0 - 0% - Does not meet
- 1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap)
- 2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap)
- 3 - 100% - Meet

The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows:

- 0% - 75% - Does not meet
- 80% - 100% - Meet

Compiled by (MMS): N. Mqubi
Signature: 
Date: 2025-07-25

Compiled by (PQE): S Brown
Signature: 
Date: 2025-07-25

Reviewed by (MMS): S. Benjamin
Signature: 
Date: 2025-07-28